

RTO Academic Misconduct Policy and Procedure

Version: 3.0

23/06/2025

Your path.

Your pace.

Your potential.

cple.com.au

RTO Academic Misconduct Policy and Procedure

Purpose

The Centre for Professional Learning and Development (Cple | 88148) is committed to ensuring a positive learning experience for its students. We aim to provide a learning environment that fosters the qualities of independent learning and academic integrity.

Introduction

This policy seeks to encourage ethical conduct and to inform Cple trainer/assessors and students about Cple standards of academic behaviour.

Students have a responsibility to maintain the highest standards of academic integrity in their work. Students must not cheat in assessment and must ensure that they do not plagiarise.

This policy supports Quality Area One of the Standards for Registered Training Organisations (2025).

Authorisation

This procedure shall be endorsed and issued under the authority of the RTO Manager.

Policy

Cple values empowerment and growth and expects its students to accept responsibility for their own actions and work performance. Therefore, academic misconduct of plagiarism, cheating or collusion, in any form, will not be tolerated.

Academic Misconduct

Academic misconduct means seeking to obtain academic advantage by dishonest or unfair means or knowingly assisting another student in doing so. Academic misconduct may include, but is not limited to:

- Plagiarism or assisting another student to commit plagiarism
- Cheating
- Collusion
- Inappropriate use of Artificial Intelligence (as outlined in the Cple Student Handbook)
- Taking unauthorised information, materials or aids into an assessment activity



- Submitting assessment responses that have already been assessed for another Unit of Competency/module
- Falsifying or fabricating information or data
- Failing to give accurate acknowledgement to others works (referencing) when instructed
- Tampering or attempting to tamper with assessment items, results or academic records
- Discussing practical workplace assessments already undertaken with another student, prior to them undertaking the same assessment activity.

Plagiarism

Plagiarism is the act of misrepresenting as one's own original work the ideas, interpretations, words or creative works of another. These include published and unpublished documents, designs, music, sounds, images, photographs, computer codes and ideas gained through working in a group. These ideas, interpretations, words or works may be found in print and/or electronic media.

Cheating

Cheating is copying; sharing or doing someone else's assessment work such as assessment answers, essays and tests.

Collusion

Occurs when a student uses another student's work without adequately crediting the other student's work whether the other student has given consent or not to use the work or not. Unintentional collusion can arise from group activities; study groups; and group-based assessments where students are unsure about the boundary between what Cple Trainer/Assessors consider acceptable group work and collusion.

Common Knowledge

In every field, there is a body of knowledge and material that has become part of the public domain, and which can be drawn on without specific acknowledgment. Common knowledge includes facts that are generally known, such as common facts of history, common sense information, accepted folklore and aphorisms that have been adopted as part of common English language.

As examples, it would not be necessary to reference the following:

- That John Howard was the Prime Minister of Australia (common fact of history)
- That humans need food and water for survival (common sense observation)
- That the "Bunyip" is a man-eating Australian animal that live in waterholes, swamps and creeks (accepted folklore)

Minimise Instances of Academic Misconduct, Plagiarism, Cheating and Collusion

Cple will foster a culture of responsibility for ones' own actions and work performance in students by:

- Ensuring students during assessment processes are provided with direction and feedback regarding academic misconduct matters.
- Avoiding group assessment when possible.



- Ensuring that Cple staff adhere to this policy when investigating and actioning matters if academic misconduct of plagiarism, cheating, and/or collusion.

Students are expected to:

- Be aware of this policy and its procedures to educate themselves about how to avoid plagiarism, cheating, and collusion.
- Attempt assessment activities independently unless the assessment activity requires group work.
- Reference assessment materials when instructed in the assessment task.
- Avoid placing themselves in situations that could be construed as academic misconduct.

Referencing

Referencing demonstrates that the student has read the issued material or has undertaken their own research in other sources. Failure to reference appropriately is considered unethical academic behaviour and will result in a student's work not being accepted.

Students should understand that assessment submitted for marking must consist of original effort. It is insufficient to simply copy work from other sources and submit it. Work submitted by a student must have an original component. The following are examples of plagiarism where a student intentionally does not acknowledge or reference an author or source:

- Direct copying of paragraphs, sentences, a single sentence or significant parts of a sentence.
- Direct copying of paragraphs, sentences, a single sentence or significant parts of a sentence with an end reference but without quotation marks around the copied text.
- Copying ideas, concepts, research results, computer codes, statistical tables, designs, images, sounds or text or any combination of these.
- Paraphrasing, summarising or simply rearranging another person's words, ideas, etc., without reference or explanation.
- Offering an idea or interpretation that is not one's own without identifying whose idea or interpretation it is.
- A 'cut and paste' of statements from multiple sources.
- Presenting as independent, work done in collaboration with others.
- Copying or adapting another student's original work into a submitted assessment item.
- Copying or adapting a student's own work submitted in a previous assessment.

Alternatively, there will be instances when a student unintentionally fails to cite sources or to do so adequately.


Careless or inadequate referencing or failure to reference when an assessment task requires it, will be considered poor practice. Where careless referencing is identified, the student will be required to correct the error and resubmit the assessment. When studying with Cple, students are to be encouraged to apply the Harvard Referencing System when referencing sources however, other referencing formats such as APA or MLA will be accepted.



Procedures

1. In the case of suspected academic conduct, plagiarism, cheating and collusion, Cple trainer/assessors will report the incident to the RTO Manager.
2. The RTO Manager, in consultation with the Cple trainer/assessor will determine if the academic conduct, plagiarism, cheating and/or collusion has resulted from poor academic practice or was intentional. This preliminary step may involve an informal interview with the student.
3. The RTO Manager and Cple trainer/assessor will:
 - Consider the extent of the plagiarism (noting that the more extensive the plagiarism, the more likely it was intentional).
 - Review assessment task information and instructions provided to students to determine if adequate information had been given.
 - Identify if the student has been previously warned of plagiarism.
 - Determine whether the student is new to adult vocational education and training (it would be expected that continuing students would be more likely to understand plagiarism and its consequences).
4. If the above factors have been considered and it has been determined that the plagiarism has arisen from poor academic practice, the student will be requested to revise the work and resubmit it for the assessment.
5. If after consideration of the above factors it is determined that the plagiarism was intentional, the student's work will not be accepted. The student will be given a formal warning (in writing) by the RTO Manager explaining the seriousness of the incident and the consequences if the student is found to plagiarise again.
6. The student will be given the opportunity to revise the work and resubmit the assessment.
7. Students who commit plagiarism after being formally warned will be withdrawn from the qualification they are enrolled and issued with a refund of their tuition fees less all expenses incurred by Cple up to the point of their withdrawal (where applicable). Certification policy and procedure will also be enacted to ensure that any completed units of competency up to the point of withdrawal are recognised and certified via a statement of attainment.
8. In the event the student feels they are being unfairly treated, they will have full rights to appeal the process in line with Cple Appeals Policies and Procedure and Communities at Work's Complaints Handling Policy and Procedure. Such cases will be handled in line with these requirements until the matter is resolved and a final decision made.





Responsibilities

RTO Manager

- Investigate allegation of suspected academic misconduct.
- Decide on the consequences of a student's training and enrolment if academic misconduct is confirmed.

Cple Trainers and Assessors:

- Inform students of this policy and procedures and Cple's stance on academic misconduct.
- Educate students on methods to avoid plagiarism, cheating and collusion.
- Be vigilant when marking assessment to identify potential misconduct as outlined in this policy.
- Investigate suspected academic misconduct.
- Report suspected academic misconduct to the RTO Manager.

Cple Students:

- Being aware of this policy and following the outlined procedures.
- Understand this policy and procedure and seeking assistance if unsure.
- Take necessary actions to minimise plagiarism such as; writing assessment responses using their own words; referencing assessment responses.
- Avoid lending completed work to other students of CPLE for any reason.

Related Documents:

1. ORG-QMS-POL-001 Purpose, Mission and Values
2. ORG-QMS-POL-022 Complaints Handling Policy
3. RTO-PRG-POL-001 RTO Appeals Policy and Procedure
4. Cple Student Handbook
5. RTO Terms and Conditions of Enrolment

References

1. ISO 9001:2015 Quality Management System
2. ISO 3100: 2018 Risk Management
3. Standards for Registered Training Organisations 2025



Document Contact

GRC Administration

P: 02 6293 6500

E: grc@commsatwork.org

Review Specifications

OFFICE USE ONLY			
Written/reviewed by	Authorised for release by	Version number	Signature of authorising person
Chloe Brewer	Chloe Brewer	3.0	Text
VERSION HISTORY			
Version:	Date of Effect:	Brief Summary of Change:	
2	04.04.2022	Policy and procedures reviewed and updated	
2.1	19.11.2024	Template updated, links to related policies updated.	
3.0	23/06/2025	Updated to align with Standards for RTOs 2025.	

Office Use Only					
Document Type	Policy	Doc Ref No.	RTO-PRG-POL-014	Version No.	3.0
Date of Effect	23/06/2025	Due for Review	01/03/2028		

